



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/844,706	04/27/2001	Arun Shah	68110328.713	9552	
23562	23562 7590 07/01/2004		EXAMINER		
PAKER & MCKENZIE PATENT DEPARTMENT 2001 ROSS AVENUE SUITE 2300 DALLAS, TX 75201			ABEL JALIL	ABEL JALIL, NEVEEN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2175	18	
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

f

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/844,706	SHAH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Neveen Abel-Jalil	2175				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. The mailing date of this communication: D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 M	<u>ay 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	.atr−1					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Apper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				
0.0						

Application/Control Number: 09/844,706 Page 2

Art Unit: 2175

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed on March 29, 2004 has been received and entered. Claims 1-10 are pending.

2. Acknowledgment is hereby made for the amended title.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by <u>Egilsson et al.</u>
(U.S. Patent No. 6,434,557).

Art Unit: 2175

As to claims 1, and 6, <u>Egilsson et al.</u> discloses a computer readable medium for storing a plurality of instructions for calculating a measure, said plurality of instructions comprising:

receiving a request to calculated a measure (See column 18, lines 30-46), said measure associated with one or more requested levels (See column 15, lines 30-60);

determining at least one allocated level for the measure (See column 17, lines 42-46); selecting a first star from a first stargroup associated with the measure (See column 16, lines 13-30), wherein the first star supports the at least one allocation level for the measure (See column 16, lines 31-54),

selecting a second star from a second stargroup associated with a control measure, wherein the second star supports the one or more requested levels (See column 16, lines 13-54, wherein "second star" reads on "grouping").

As to claims 2, and 7, <u>Egilsson et al.</u> discloses computer readable medium wherein the plurality of instructions (See column 4, lines 34-65) comprising determining at least one allocated level (See column 5, lines 57-67, and see column 6, lines 1-21) further comprises:

comparing the requested levels to a lowest level star in the first stargroup (See column 12, lines 3-10); and

selecting for each requested level, a minimum of the requested level and a corresponding one of one or more dimension levels associated with the star (See column 12, lines 29-65, also see column 17, lines 31-46).

Art Unit: 2175

As to claims 3, and 8, <u>Egilsson et al.</u> discloses the computer readable medium wherein the plurality of instructions (See column 4, lines 51-65) further comprising:

calculating the measure for the allocated levels (See column 17, lines 31-46); and calculating the control measure for the requested levels (See column 7, lines 30-35, also see column 8, lines 48-67).

As to claims 4, and 9, <u>Egilsson et al.</u> discloses determining the allocated levels (See column 2, lines 43-63) further comprises:

determining the allocated levels wherein no star exists which supports the measure at the requested levels (See column 2, lines 1-16, wherein "no star" reads on "non-associative", also see figure 8 which shows the measurement allocation).

As to claims 5, and 10, <u>Egilsson et al.</u> discloses wherein the control measure is a predetermined measure associated with the measure (See column 4, lines 10-18, wherein "predetermined" reads on "set", also see column 5, lines 57-67, and see column 6, lines 1-21).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on March 29, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2175

In response to applicant's argument that "Egilsson does not teach a method of calculating a measure by determining an allocated level for the measure" is respectfully acknowledged but is not deemed to be persuasive.

The Examiner respectfully points to column 17, lines 42-46, and column 17, lines 27-34 wherein the aggregation of fact tables is disclosed by <u>Egilsson</u> is interpreted to read on allocated level since a table by definition contains levels of data in different fields that could be combined by calculations or measures to produce additional categories. <u>Egilsson</u> by stating in column 17, lines 34-43 generating dimension table...it does not need to have any additional levels, just the lowest level, is indeed acknowledgement showing that prior art has been constructed of multiple levels and that the possibility is present for either choice to be implemented.

Also see <u>Egilsson</u> column 2, lines 51-64, teaches variable level of granularity indicating more than one level. Also by aggregating data, <u>Egilsson</u> is taking what data fields already populated or assigned (thereby allocated) and go further to produce a combined result.

In response to applicant's argument that "Egilsson does not teach determining at least one allocated level for the measure" is respectfully acknowledged but is not deemed to be persuasive.

The Examiner respectfully points to <u>Egilsson</u> column 10, lines 20-59, teaches hierarchy and data levels populated with values. Measurement can be calculated or combined at any level to form a new association. The user can select a dimension and a particular level on some level set structure, as taught by <u>Egilsson</u> in column 10, lines 20-34. Egilsson in column 8, lines 48-56 defined how to locate the measurement in the level thereby indicating a value has been assigned and allocated and thereby a measure can be attributed to it as well.

Art Unit: 2175

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neveen Abel-Jalil whose telephone number is 703-305-8114. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5: 30PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici can be reached on 703-305-3830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2175

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Neveen Abel-Jalil June 24, 2004

CHARLES RONES
PRIMARY EXAMINER